



ATTACHMENT L
Additional Correspondence

From: [Corina Flores](#)
To: [John Signorino](#)
Cc: [Scott Nightingale](#)
Subject: RE: Planning meeting presentation
Date: Thursday, April 17, 2025 11:13:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Hi John,

I've created a DropBox folder with all appeal items going to City Council for the hearing this Tuesday. You may access the DropBox using the following link:

<https://www.dropbox.com/scl/fo/unrjv1nduq4rp73drb6fn/ALzbNeLp54kh4MZk-jdXnjs?rlkey=2gil886n07uvh0z347dkymv41&st=ff23ppx6&dl=0>

I also have responses provided by Dr. Jonathan Kramer available for the questions you had specific to his presentation. Your questions are repeated with Dr. Kramer's responses below in **red**.

1. The City's expert used a 12 inch ruler analogy and made reference to a 1000 watt microwave implying 12 inches was 1,000 watts? Is this what he was saying? If not, numerically what was he saying?

Dr. Kramer never mentioned 1,000 watts in relation to the 12-inch ruler he was using as a teaching tool. The 12-inch ruler was used as a summation device to illustrate in a relative manner that the FCC's national radio frequency guidelines are set up to provide a 50-times safety margin between the maximum radio frequency power a member of the general public may be exposed and that much higher level of power that may result in a measurable effect on a person.

2. Is this 1,000 watt microwave analogy appropriate when a microwave unit is enclosed in somewhat of a faraday cage and the cell towers are emitting this energy directly into the community?

Dr. Kramer stated that the power inside a kitchen microwave oven was contained within the microwave oven. The internal walls of a microwave oven does contain the radio frequency power within the oven. Dr. Kramer's analogy was to the fact that radio frequency emissions at the frequencies used by microwave ovens, and the frequencies used by cell towers, do not result in DNA damage.

3. Since your expert referenced wattage, we have asked the City for years what is the total wattage and frequency of each microwave tower at this site? Please provide wattage and frequency for each tower.

The radio frequency information is included in the public record associated with the subject project, and was reviewed by Dr. Kramer when forming the compliance opinion and recommendations.

Please note that the City Clerks office will be reaching out separately with information for you in preparation for Tuesday's meeting. Please let me know if I'm missing any meeting documents you've requested.

Best,



Corina Flores | Associate Planner

City of San Marcos | 1 Civic Center Drive, San Marcos, CA 92069

T: (760) 744-1050 ext. 3229 | C: (760) 481-3855

cflores@san-marcos.net | www.san-marcos.net

FREQUENTLY REQUESTED INFORMATION:

[Zoning Map](#) | [Zoning Ordinance](#) | [Planning Applications](#) | [Business Resources](#)

Public Counters at City Hall and Public Works are open from 8:30 am to 4:30 pm Monday-Thursday, and every other [Friday](#).

For real-time updates about the City's response to COVID-19, visit www.san-marcos.net/covid19.

From: John Signorino [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, March 7, 2025 9:59 AM
To: Corina Flores <cflores@san-marcos.net>
Cc: Scott Nightingale <snightingale@san-marcos.net>
Subject: Re: Planning meeting presentation

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Corina,

Thank you, Please provide the City Council appeal hearing documents when they have been prepared.

John Signorino
[REDACTED]

This transmission is Private, Confidential and Privileged, it and any attachments are for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act. Any disclosure or distribution is prohibited so, please delete it and destroy any copies and notify the sender. Thank you.

On Fri, Mar 7, 2025 at 8:28 AM Corina Flores <cflores@san-marcos.net> wrote:

Hi John,

Thank you for following up. Attached is the Planning Commission Agenda which includes all record links provided to the PC for reviewing the CUP renewal. The second attachment is the current CUP which is being renewed. City Council appeal hearing documents have not been prepared at this time but can be provided once completed for CC review.

Lastly, the presentation I gave at PC can be found in the DropBox link below:

<https://www.dropbox.com/scl/fo/unrjv1nduq4rp73drb6fn/ALzbNeLp54kh4MZk-jdXnjs?rlkey=2gil886n07uvh0z347dkymv41&st=jldt2a0h&dl=0>

Best,



Corina Flores | Assistant Planner

City of San Marcos | 1 Civic Center Drive, San Marcos, CA 92069

T: (760) 744-1050 ext. 3229 | C: (760) 481-3855

cflores@san-marcos.net | www.san-marcos.net

FREQUENTLY REQUESTED INFORMATION:

[Zoning Map](#) | [Zoning Ordinance](#) | [Planning Applications](#) | [Business Resources](#)

Public Counters at City Hall and Public Works are open from 8:30 am to 4:30 pm Monday-Thursday, and every other [Friday](#). For real-time updates about the City's response to COVID-19, visit www.san-marcos.net/covid19.

From: John Signorino [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, March 6, 2025 5:11 PM
To: Corina Flores <cflores@san-marcos.net>; Scott Nightingale <snightingale@san-marcos.net>
Subject: Re: Planning meeting presentation

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Coeina,

A reminder. Please follow up on my prior questions and request to provide the link, file or physical copy of the current and new AT&T CUP up for approval and the City's presentation at the Planning Commission meeting.

Thank you,

John Signorino

This transmission is Private, Confidential and Privileged, it and any attachments are for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act. Any disclosure or distribution is prohibited so, please delete it and destroy any copies and notify the sender. Thank you.

On Fri, Feb 28, 2025 at 9:03 AM John Signorino [REDACTED] wrote:

Corina,

Thank you for your email. Could you please follow up on my Monday questions and request to provide the link, file or physical copy of the current and new AT&T CUP up for approval and the City's presentation at the Planning Commission meeting.

John Signorino
C 760-604-6058

This transmission is Private, Confidential and Privileged, it and any attachments are for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act. Any disclosure or distribution is prohibited so, please delete it and destroy any copies and notify the sender. Thank you.

On Thu, Feb 27, 2025 at 5:28 PM Corina Flores <cflores@san-marcos.net> wrote:

Hi John,

Your appeal statement is received, thank you. Please note that we have scheduled the appeal hearing date for Tuesday, April 22, 2025. As the appellant you will have more time during the meeting to address City Council, please see the following appeal hearing process:

1. Staff Presentation (15 minutes)
2. Council Questions (If applicable)
3. Appellant #1 Presentation (15 minutes)
4. Council Questions (If applicable)
5. Staff Responses (5 minutes)
6. Appellant Rebuttal (5 minutes)
7. Staff Closing Statement (5 minutes)

8. Appellant Closing Statement (5 minutes)
9. Public Comments
10. Council Discussion/Questions/Action (if applicable)

Total Time (50 Minutes + Council Questioning)

Please let me know if you have any questions in the meanwhile.

Best,



Corina Flores | Assistant Planner

City of San Marcos | 1 Civic Center Drive, San Marcos, CA 92069

T: (760) 744-1050 ext. 3229 | C: (760) 481-3855

cflores@san-marcos.net | www.san-marcos.net

FREQUENTLY REQUESTED INFORMATION:

[Zoning Map](#) | [Zoning Ordinance](#) | [Planning Applications](#) | [Business Resources](#)

Public Counters at City Hall and Public Works are open from 8:30 am to 4:30 pm Monday-Thursday, and every other [Friday](#). For real-time updates about the City's response to COVID-19, visit www.san-marcos.net/covid19.

From: John Signorino [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, February 24, 2025 8:00 AM
To: Corina Flores <cflores@san-marcos.net>
Cc: Scott Nightingale <snightingale@san-marcos.net>
Subject: Re: Planning meeting presentation

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Scott, Corina,

As a follow-up to your phone call on Thursday, the "because" statement is below:

Background:

The AT&T CUP required they do a pre and post analysis of the private roads Attebury and Golden Eagle Trail, and bring these roads back to their condition prior to their cell tower construction. This was not done. AT&T told the City Council at the CUP approval meeting they would restore these roads to prior "or better" condition. AT&T certainly did not comply with this pledge.

AT&T nor the City met with the Questhaven Road owners regarding the road condition after the cell tower construction. AT&T construction equipment exceeded the road weight capacity and damaged these roads. AT&T did not comply with the CUP regarding Golden Eagle Trail. Review the documentation provided to the City late in 2019 and January 2020.

I attended the February Planning Commission meeting to principally address the AT&T CUP road repair issue. Three minutes was insufficient to address the CUP issues.

Problem:

AT&T did not comply with their current CUP and their pledge to the City Council and road owners.

The City and AT&T never met with The Questhaven Hills road owners regarding the CUP and their roads.

Remedy::

- Withhold the AT&T CUP renewal before the City Council until they fulfill their CUP terms to restore the condition of the roads and their "or better" pledge to the City Council and road owners.
- Consider and address the Planning Commission issues (not addressed due to a 3 minute rule).

If you need more information, please review what was provided to the City in 2020 and reach out to me.

Also the Planning Chair said we would have an opportunity to ask questions but never provided this opportunity. Please pass these questions on to the Chair or appropriate person:

1. The City's expert used a 12 inch ruler analogy and made reference to a 1000 watt microwave implying 12 inches was 1,000 watts? Is this what he was saying? If not, numerically what was he saying?
2. Is this 1,000 watt microwave analogy appropriate when a microwave unit is enclosed in somewhat of a faraday cage and the cell towers are emitting this energy directly into the community?
3. Since your expert referenced wattage, we have asked the City for years what is the total wattage and frequency of each microwave tower at this site?
Please provide wattage and frequency for each tower.

We wanted to avoid resurrecting the cell tower issues and were only asking AT&T to do what they pledged to the City Council the night they approved their CUP.

Please provide the link, file or physical copy of the current and new AT&T CUP